

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bog. 1459

10/632,456 07/31/2003 Tzu-Jin Yeh N1085-00039 113MC2002-080 8933 7590 02/25/2005 EXAMINER DUANE MORRIS, LLP MUNSON. GENE M					
8933 7590 02/25/2005 TISMC2/02/2-080  DUANE MORRIS, LLP  MUNSON GENE M  MUNSON GENE M	APPLICATION NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FILING DATE	APPLICATION NO.
DUANE MORRIS, LLP MUNSON, GENE M			Tzu-Jin Yeh	07/31/2003	
	8933	EXAMINER		90 02/25/2005	8933
IP DEPARTMENT	DUANE MO IP DEPARTM	MUNSON, GENE M	đ		
ONE LIBERTY PLACE ART UNIT PAPE	ONE LIBERT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE			
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7396 2811	PHILADELPI	2811			

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)



## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/632,456
Applicant(s)
T, YEH ET AL

Examiner
G, MUNSON
2811

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address -

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE  $\_{\it THREE}$  Month(s) from the mailing date of this communication.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS
  from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

Office Action Summary	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2/31/0-3	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
ttachment(s)	
*Certified copies not received:	<del></del>
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a))
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been receive	ved
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Applicat	tion No
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	110 (a)-(u).
□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	110 (a)-(d)
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	niner
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is dapped is daying correction, filed on is dapped is daying correction, filed on is daying correction.	
\pplication Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ appro	
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
⊠ Claim(s)/ - 25	
Claim(s)	
Of the above claim(s) 26-41	
⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 4 /	
Disposition of Claims	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.</li> </ul>	s, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 3. 213.
☐ This action is FINAL.	*
A Responsive to communication(s) filed on	5
Status	
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applic.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this corn term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. cation to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133), imunication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_

Claims 26-41 are withdrawn from consideration as being for a non-elected invention, the election having been made without traverse in the response, filed 11 January 2005.

Applicants are requested to cancel the non-elected claims as part of a complete response to this action. Note that cancellation of the non-elected claims would not preclude the later filing of a divisional applicant on the non-elected invention (35 USC 120, 121).

The specification is objected to under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, and 37 CFR 1.71. The specification, pages 2-7, does not agree with the figures. On page 2, the brief description of Figure 6 does not agree with Figures 6A to 6F, which the specification (page 5) does not describe. On pages 3-4, label 210 is not in Figure 2 and label 120 is not in Figure 3. There are no Figures 8G and 8H. The descriptions, pages 3, 6-7 do not agree with Figures 8A to 8F.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as not being based on an adequate specification, as noted above.

Note that the process terminology (claims 11, 12) is considered only in terms of a necessary resultant structure from the process. The process itself is not at issue for a device claim. The device claims are not limited to the recited process. See MPEP 2113; In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1972). In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980); In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 289, 292-293 (CCPA 1983); In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2811

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 551(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed under Multied States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 USC 102 as unpatentable as shown by Yu '591. See Figures 1, 7, 8, 10, 11 with a "conductive trace" 14, "insulating" layer 12, and "wells" 20, 24 (Figure 8, claim 3) or "wells" 22 (Figure 11, claim 4).

Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 USC 102 as unpatentable as shown by Yu et al '704. See Figure 4 with a "conductive trace" 23, "insulating" layer 16 and "wells" 41.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 USC 102 as unpatentable as shown by Kobayashi. See Figures 1, 2 with a "conductive trace" 16, "insulating" layer 12, and "wells" 14 (claim 3) or P type "wells" between areas 14 (claim 4).

No claim is allowed.

Munson/ds (571) 272-1659

02/12/05

GENE M. MUNSON
EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 283